

in the order, as long as any exporter or producer is subject to the order, if the Secretary concludes that the exporter or producer, subsequent to the revocation, sold the subject merchandise at less than normal value.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 99-14098 Filed 6-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 009-0130b; FRL-6331-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revisions: Kern County Air Pollution Control District, Modoc County Air Pollution Control District, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, and Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern various administrative, editorial, and other modifications which do not directly affect emissions. The intended effect of this action is to update and clarify the SIP.

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving these SIP submittals as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these rules as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received by July 6, 1999

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, California 95812.

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 "M" Street, Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301-2370.

Modoc County Air Pollution Control District, 202 West Fourth Street, Alturas, CA 96101-3915.

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, 15428 Civic Drive, Ste. 200, Victorville, CA 92392-2383.

Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District, 150 Matheson Street, Healdsburg, CA 95448-4908.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite 200, Fresno, California, 93721, and

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, Suite B23, Goleta, CA 93117.

Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District, 525 South Foothill Drive, Yreka, California, 96097-3036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office, AIR-4, Air Division, U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1185.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns the following rule revisions:

Kern County APCD—Rule 101, Title; Rule 112, Circumvention; Rule 113, Separation and Combination; Rule 114, Severability; and Rule 115, Applicability of Emission Limits. These rules were adopted on May 2, 1996 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on July 23, 1996.

Modoc County APCD—Rule 4.1-2, Uncombined Water; Rule 4.6, Circumvention; Rule 4.6-1, Exception to Circumvention; and Rule 4.9, Separation of Emissions. These rules were adopted on January 3, 1989 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on December 31, 1990.

Mojave Desert AQMD—Rule 103, Description of the District Boundaries was adopted on June 28, 1995 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on August 10, 1995.

Northern Sonoma County APCD—Unnumbered rule, known as Appendix A; Unnumbered rule, known as Appendix B; Unnumbered rule,

formerly Appendix C, now known as Appendix A; and Unnumbered rule, formerly Appendix D, now known as Appendix B. These appendices were adopted on February 22, 1984 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on October 16, 1985.

San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD—Rule 1010, Title and Rule 1130, Severability were adopted on June 18, 1992 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on September 28, 1994.

Santa Barbara County APCD—Rule 105, Applicability adopted on July 30, 1991 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on October 25, 1991.

Siskiyou County APCD—Rule 4.10, Reduction of Animal Matter, adopted on January 24, 1989 and submitted to EPA as a revision to the SIP on March 26, 1990.

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: March 22, 1999.

Alexis Strauss,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 99-13658 Filed 6-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TX-83-1-7340b; FRL-6349-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Texas; Revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) Addressing Sulfur Dioxide in Harris County

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing direct final approval to revisions of the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Harris County, addressing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emissions. This action incorporates by reference into the federally approved SIP two amended Agreed Orders modifying the SO₂ allowable emissions at two stationary sources in Harris County, Texas. The Orders concern Simpson Pasadena Paper Company and Lyondel-Citgo Refining Company, both located in Houston, Texas. The intended effect of approving these Agreed Orders is to regulate SO₂ emissions in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving